



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/074,759	02/12/2002	Anthony Noerpel	PD-201178	9286
75	590 06/15/2005		EXAMINER	
Hughes Electronics Corporation			PEREZ, JULIO R	
Patent Docket Administration Bldg. 1, Mail Stop A109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 956			2681	
El Segundo, C.	A 90245-0956		DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/074,759	NOERPEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Julio R. Perez	2681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u>	arch 2005.					
• - •						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 5,8-13 and 15 is/are pending in the appearance 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 5 and 15 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 8-10 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 11-13 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claim 8 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Gessner et al (Gessner), Pub. No. US 20030174668. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gessner et al. (20030174668).

Regarding claim 8, Gessner et al disclose the method, wherein, a wireless network having a plurality of broadband channels, a first contention channel having a duration equal at least to the duration of a RACH message plus a minimum timing uncertainty (page 1, pars. 0006-0008, base stations on cellular systems are known to access bursts on the RACH that includes a finite duration and are characterized to have a round trip variation limited to several milliseconds), and a second contention channel having a duration which is less than the duration of said first contention channel (page 1, pars. 0007-0012).

Gessner fails to specifically disclose the duration being less than the duration of said first contention channel.

Art Unit: 2681

However, Gessner strongly suggests that the subscriber station should not take much time for acquiring an access a traffic channel on the network (page 1, par. 007).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the system as taught by Gessner with procedures to implement the access connection to the network with faster mechanisms because it would provide the system with an efficient access, and to avoid collisions between mobile stations, and to increase the efficiency of the access channel.

Regarding claim 9, Gessner et al disclose, wherein said first contention channel is within a control channel (page 1, pars. 0005-007).

Regarding claim 10, Gessner et al disclose, wherein said second contention channel is within the frequency range associated with traffic channels (page 1, pars. 0005-0012).

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 11-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 5, 15 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio R. Perez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7846. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2681

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on (571) 272- 4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6/13/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4